metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Bing-Xin Liu,^a Jing-Jing Nie^b and Duan-Jun Xu^b*

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Shanghai University, People's Republic of China, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: xudj@mail.hz.zj.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 295 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.008 Å R factor = 0.026 wR factor = 0.060 Data-to-parameter ratio = 14.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

catena-Poly[(2,2'-diamino-4,4'-bi-1,3-thiazole- $\kappa^2 N, N'$)lead(II)]-di- μ -isonicotintato- $\kappa^4 O:O'$]

In the polymeric title compound, $[Pb(C_6H_4NO_2)_2-C_6H_6N_4S_2)]_n$, the Pb^{II} ion is located on a twofold axis and coordinated by one N,N'-bidentate diaminobithiazole (DABT) ligand and four O-monodentate isonicotinate anions in a very distorted *cis*-PbN₂O₄ octahedral geometry. The carboxylate group of the isonicotinate anion bridges two Pb^{II} ions, forming a polymeric chain. The complete DABT molecule is generated by twofold symmetry; its two thiazole rings are twisted with a dihedral angle of 9.5 (2)°. There are no π - π stacking interactions in the title compound, but N–H···O and N–H···N hydrogen bonds help to stabilize the structure.

Comment

Transition metal complexes of 2,2'-diamino-4,4'-bi-1,3-thiazole (DABT) have shown potential applications in the field of soft magnetic materials (Sun *et al.*, 1997). As a continuation of our investigations of metal complexes with DABT (Liu *et al.*, 2003), the title Pb^{II} complex, (I), has been prepared and its X-ray crystal structure is presented here.



The coordination environment around the Pb^{II} ion in (I) is shown in Fig. 1. The Pb^{II} ion is located on a twofold axis and is coordinated by one DABT ligand and four isonicotinate anions, resulting in a very distorted *cis*-PbN₂O₄ octahedral geometry (Table 1). The two unique Pb-O distances differ by some 0.11 Å. The two carboxylate C-O distances are approximately equal, implying charge delocalization for the CO₂⁻ group. The carboxyl group of each isonicotinate anion bridges two Pb^{II} ions, forming a polymeric complex chain, extending along the crystallographic *c* axis (Fig. 2).

The DABT system possesses twofold symmetry with the the mid-point of the C3–C3ⁱⁱ bond located on the rotation axis [symmetry code: (ii) 1 - x, y, $\frac{3}{2} - z$]. The two thiazole rings of

Received 7 August 2006 Accepted 7 August 2006

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography

All rights reserved



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I) expanded to show the coordination environment around the Pb^{II} ion (30% probability displacement ellipsoids; arbitrary spheres for H atoms). [Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z; (ii) 1 - x, y, $\frac{3}{2} - z$; (iii) x, 2 - y, $\frac{1}{2} + z$.]



Figure 2

The unit-cell packing of (I), showing polymeric complex chains and the parallel arrangement of DABT ligands [symmetry code: (i) 1 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z]. H atoms have been omitted.

DABT are twisted with respect to each other, with a dihedral angle of 9.5 (2)°; this compares with an equivalent value of 14.7 (3)° found in a Cd^{II} complex with DABT (Zhang *et al.*, 2006).

The amino group of DABT makes hydrogen bonds to adjacent carboxyl O and pyridine N atoms (Table 2), which help to stabilize the crystal structure. A parallel arrangement of DABT ligands from neighboring polymeric chains is observed (Fig. 2). However, the closest centroid-to-centroid separation of 4.142 (3) Å between thiazole rings related by an inversion center at $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ indicates that there are no significant aromatic π - π stacking interactions between them.

Experimental

An aqueous solution (20 ml) containing DABT (1 mmol) and $Pb(NO_3)_2$ (1 mmol) was mixed with an aqueous solution (10 ml) of isonicotinic acid (1 mmol) and NaOH (1 mmol). The mixture was refluxed for 5 h. The solution was filtered after cooling to room temperature. Yellow single crystals of (I) were obtained from the filtrate after 10 d.

Z = 4

 $D_x = 2.106 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

 $0.12 \times 0.10 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

5814 measured reflections

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $\mu = 8.48 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 295 (2) K

Prism. vellow

Crystal data

$$\begin{split} & [\text{Pb}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{NO}_2)_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{N}_4\text{S}_2)] \\ & M_r = 649.66 \\ & \text{Monoclinic, } C2/c \\ & a = 25.430 \text{ (3) Å} \\ & b = 10.7493 \text{ (19) Å} \\ & c = 7.7480 \text{ (12) Å} \\ & \beta = 104.715 \text{ (12)}^\circ \\ & \beta = 104.715 \text{ (12)}^\circ \\ & V = 2048.5 \text{ (6) Å}^3 \end{split}$$

Data collection

Bruker APEX-2 diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 2002) $T_{\min} = 0.355, T_{\max} = 0.430$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$ $wR(F^2) = 0.060$ S = 1.072077 reflections 142 parameters H-atom parameters constrained

2077 independent reflections
1939 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$R_{\rm int} = 0.025$
$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.2^{\circ}$
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0262P)^2]$

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0262P)^2]$
+ 4.0444P]
where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.71 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.65 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, $^{\circ}$).

Pb-N1	2.544 (4)	C4-O1	1.259 (7)	
Pb-O1	2.510 (4)	C4-O2	1.244 (8)	
Pb-O2 ⁱ	2.622 (4)			
O1 ⁱⁱ –Pb–O1	165.1 (2)	N1-Pb-O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	149.69 (14)	
O1-Pb-N1	86.01 (13)	$O1 - Pb - O2^i$	100.2 (2)	
O1-Pb-N1 ⁱⁱ	81.49 (16)	$N1 - Pb - O2^{i}$	83.46 (14)	
N1-Pb-N1 ⁱⁱ	66.4 (2)	O2 ⁱⁱⁱ -Pb-O2 ⁱ	126.8 (2)	
O1-Pb-O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	86.55 (18)			
Symmetry codes: ($x, -y + 2, z + \frac{1}{2}$.	(i) $-x + 1, -y + 2$	2, -z + 1; (ii) $-x + 1$	$, y, -z + \frac{3}{2};$ (iii)	

Table 2			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$		
$N2-H2A\cdotsO2^{i}$ $N2-H2B\cdotsN3^{iv}$	0.86 0.86	1.99 2.12	2.816 (7) 2.961 (8)	160 164		
Symmetry codes: (i) $-r \pm 1 - \nu \pm 2 - z \pm 1$; (iv) $r \pm 1 - \nu \pm 3 - z \pm 1$						

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1; (iv) $x - \frac{1}{2}$, $-y + \frac{3}{2}$, $z - \frac{1}{2}$.

H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with C–H = 0.93 Å and N–H = 0.86 Å, and refined as riding, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C,N)$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR92* (Altomare *et al.*, 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics:

metal-organic papers

ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).

The project was supported by the Educational Development Foundation of Shanghai Educational Committee, China (No. AB0448).

References

Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 343–350.

- Bruker (2004). *SMART* (Version 6.36A) and *SAINT* (Version 7.12A). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Liu, J.-G., Xu, D.-J., Sun, W.-L., Wu, Z.-Y., Xu, Y.-Z., Wu, J.-Y. & Chiang, M. Y. (2003). J. Coord. Chem. 56, 71–76.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany. Sheldrick, G. M. (2002). SADABS. Version 2.03. University of Göttingen,
- Germany. Sun, W.-L., Gao, X. & Lu, F.-J. (1997). Appl. Polym. Sci. 64, 2309–2315.
- Zhang, L.-J., Liu, B.-X., Ge, H.-Q. & Xu, D.-J. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, m1944– m1945.